

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,528	06/16/2000	Scott E. Landau	IFF-101	2190
75	90 09/11/2003			
Barry R Lipsitz 755 Main Street Building 8 Monroe, CT 06468			EXAMINER	
			RUDY, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
		DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			,		$\supset W$			
41			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	÷	Advisory Action	09/595,528	LANDAU ET AL.				
		navious y nation	Examin r	Art Unit				
			Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
	7	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sh et with th	correspond nce add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
		PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) [_	e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
		they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
		NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4.	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6.								
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.□	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet								

Continuation of 10. Other: One offering meets Applicant's claim language regarding "offerings". Thus, a consolidated invoice would need be but one bill. This one bill would be the entire transacation. Moore meets these limitations. Regarding the "flow through an intermediary" from page 9 of the REMARKS, no such claim language is present in the claims. Also, no "plurality of members of an organization" as noted from pages 10-11 of the REMARKS is contained in the claim language. Thus, the REMARKS are not consistent in juxtaposition to the claim language and are not convincing.